arXiv:1610.07375v1 [nucl-th] 24 Oct 2016

Scattering states of Dirac particle equation with position dependent mass under the cusp potential

M. Chabab,[1,*] A. El Batoul,[1,†] H. Hassanabadi,[2,‡] M. Oulne,[1,§] and S. Zare[3,¶]

[1] *High Energy Physics and Astrophysics Laboratory, Department of Physics, FSSM, Cadi Ayyad University P.O.B. 2390, Marrakesh, Morocco.*

[2] *Physics Department, Shahrood University of technology, Shahrood, Iran*

[3] *Department of Basic Sciences, Islamic Azad University North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.*

(Dated: October 25, 2016)

Abstract

We solved the one-dimensional position-dependent mass Dirac equation in the presence of the cusp potential and reported the solutions in terms of the Whittaker functions. We have derived the reflection and transmission coefficients by making use of the matching conditions on the wave functions. The effect of position dependent mass on the reflection and transmission coefficients of the system is duly investigated.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ca, 98.80.Cq

Keywords: Dirac equation, cusp potential, Whittaker functions, reflection and transmission coefficient.

*Electronic address: mchabab@uca.ma

†Electronic address: elbatoul.abdelwahed@edu.uca.ma

‡Electronic address: h.hasanabadi@shahroodut.ac.ir

§Electronic address: oulne@uca.ma

¶Electronic address: soroushzrg@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of scattering and bound states is an important subject in quantum physics. In recent years, there has been an increasing interest for exploring nuclear single particle states and transmission resonance, in the presence of external potentials, through the non relativistic Schrödinger equation as well as the relativistic Klein Gordon and Dirac equations. Notably, as momentum scattering goes to zero appears in one-dimensional Schrödinger equation even potentials, the reflection coefficient goes to unity unless the potential $V(x)$ supports a zero-energy resonance [1]. In this instance, the transmission coefficient goes to unity, becoming a transmission resonance [2]. The same result has been recently observed in Dirac equation showing that transmission resonances at low momentum ($k = 0$) in the particle Dirac takes place [3] for a potential barrier $V = V(x)$ when the corresponding potential well $V = -V(x)$ supports a supercritical state. An effort in this direction has been reported in some recent literature[4–10]. For example the authors of [8] have proved that relation between the bound-state energy eigenvalues and transmission resonances for the Klein-Gordon particle under the Woods-Saxon potential, which are the same as what was obtained for the Dirac particle[10]. On the other hand, solutions of the wave equations have, recently, become interesting in the view of position-dependent mass formalism. This formalism has been first proposed by Von Roos[11, 12] in the framework of Schrödinger equation. Also, extensive applications of this formalism have been done in different areas of physics such as condensed matter physics and material science such as electronic properties of semiconductors [13], quantum dots [14], quantum liquids[15–18] , and atomic nuclei[19–21] . In addition, the scattering problem has been extended, not long ago, to the case where the mass depends on spatially coordinate[22–25] .

The cusp potential, despite having a motivating physical structure, has only been the subject of a few researches. The potential has been investigated within the framework of Dirac equation in [9, 26, 27], where the scattering problem, the super-critically condition and the resonant states were well studied. The relativistic Klein Gordon equation under this interaction term was studied in [28, 29]. Therefore, our aim in the present work is to investigate the scattering states of Dirac particle equation with position dependent mass under the cusp potential. Particularly, we study the effect of a position-dependent mass on the reflection and transmission coefficients. The organisation of this article is as follows. In Section II, we present the theoretical background of the Dirac equation in the framework of Position dependent mass. In Section III, we discuss the analytical scattering states solutions. Section IV contains the numerical results of the reflection and transmission coefficients. Finally, our conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. DIRAC EQUATION WITH POSITION DEPENDENT MASS

In the natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$), the relativistic free-particle Dirac equation is written as[30, 31]

$$[i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m(x)]\,\psi(x) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where we assume that the mass of the Dirac particle depends only on one spatially coordinate x. Under the effect of an external potential $V(x) = eA_0(x)$ (is an electromagnetic potential) and taking the gamma matrices γ_x and γ_0 as the Pauli matrices $i\sigma_x$ and σ_z, respectively, the Dirac equation in one-dimension, for a stationary state $\psi(x,t) = e^{-iEt}\psi(x)$, becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} - [E - V(x)] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + m(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x) \\ \varphi_2(x) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where we have introduced $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ which are decomposed into upper and lower components of the two-componentwave function $\psi(x)$. Eq. (2.2) turns into the two following coupled differential equations,

$$\frac{d\varphi_1(x)}{dx} = -[E - V(x) + m(x)]\,\varphi_2(x), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{d\varphi_2(x)}{dx} = [E - V(x) - m(x)]\,\varphi_1(x). \tag{2.4}$$

In order to simplify the solutions of these above equations, we use a two auxiliary components $\phi(x)$ and $\chi(x)$ in terms of $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ as in[32] :

$$\phi(x) = \varphi_1(x) + i\varphi_2(x), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\chi(x) = \varphi_1(x) - i\varphi_2(x). \tag{2.6}$$

which lead

$$\frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} = i[E - V(x)]\phi(x) - im(x)\chi(x), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{d\chi(x)}{dx} = -i[E - V(x)]\chi(x) + im(x)\phi(x). \tag{2.8}$$

Eliminating $\chi(x)$ in Eq. (2.7) and inserting into Eq. (2.8) and following the similar procedure for $\phi(x)$, we obtain two uncoupled second-order differential equations for $\phi(x)$ and $\chi(x)$, respectively

$$\frac{d^2\phi(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{dm(x)/dx}{m(x)}\frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} + \left\{ [E - V(x)]^2 - m^2(x) + i\frac{dV(x)}{dx}, \right.$$
$$\left. + \; i[E - V(x)]\frac{dm(x)/dx}{m(x)} \right\}\phi(x) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2\chi(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{dm(x)/dx}{m(x)}\frac{d\chi(x)}{dx} + \Big\{[E-V(x)]^2 - m^2(x) - i\frac{dV(x)}{dx} - i[E-V(x)]\frac{dm(x)/dx}{m(x)}\Big\}\chi(x) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, we assume that the Dirac particle mass distribution depends only on spatially coordinate and obeying a cusp mass form

$$m(x) = m_0 + m_1\left[\theta(-x)e^{\frac{x}{a}} + \theta(x)e^{-\frac{x}{a}}\right]. \tag{2.11}$$

Here, the parameter m_0 will correspond to the rest mass of the Dirac particle and m_1 is a real, positive, small parameter. In this regard, The mass distribution form supplies us to obtain the analytical results for the reflection and transmission coefficients and also the bound state solutions of the problematic. Besides, from Eq. (2.9), it is easy to see that the ratio of the derivative of the mass to the mass is proportional with the mass parameter m_1. So, in order to simplify the solutions, we rid the terms that contain the derivative of the mass in Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) for the case of $m_1 \to 0$ [33]. So, Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) becomes

$$\frac{d^2\phi(x)}{dx^2} + \Big\{[E-V(x)]^2 - m^2(x) + i\frac{dV(x)}{dx}\Big\}\phi(x) = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\frac{d^2\chi(x)}{dx^2} + \Big\{[E-V(x)]^2 - m^2(x) - i\frac{dV(x)}{dx}\Big\}\chi(x) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Here, we consider the cusp potential possessing the form

$$V(x) = V_0\left[\theta(-x)e^{\frac{x}{a}} + \theta(x)e^{-\frac{x}{a}}\right]. \tag{2.14}$$

The parameter V_0 represents the height of the barrier or the depth of the well depending on its sign. a is a real, positive, parameter. Whereas $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function.

In Fig. (1), we display the shape form of the cusp potential for different values of the parameter a.



FIG. 1: Plot of the cusp potential barrier for $V_0 = 2fm^{-1}$.

III. SCATTERING STATE SOLUTIONS

In order to find the scattering of a Dirac particle under the cusp potential in the presence of the effective mass, we first seek the solution of the two uncoupled second-order differential equations (2.9 and 2.10) in the negative region ($x < 0$) and the positive region ($x > 0$). On the other hand, it is distinctly seen from (2.7) and (2.8) that it will be sufficient to solve one of (2.12) and (2.13) to obtain the solutions describing the scattering states. These will be discussed in the subsections below.

A. Solutions in the negative region: $x < 0$

By inserting the mass distribution form (2.11) and the cusp potential (2.14) into Eq. (2.12) and changing the variable $y = e^{\frac{x}{a}}$, we have

$$\frac{d^2\phi(y)}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{y}\frac{d\phi(y)}{dy} + \left[a^2\left(V_0^2 - m_1^2\right) + \frac{\left(a\left(iV_0 - 2a\left(EV_0 + m_0m_1\right)\right)\right)}{y} + \frac{a^2\left(E^2 - m_0^2\right)}{y^2}\right]\phi(y) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By setting $\phi(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}}f(y)$ and substituting it into the above equation, one gets the Whittaker differential equation possessing the form [34] :

$$\frac{d^2f(z)}{dz^2} + \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_{1L}}{z} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} - K_{1L}^2\right)}{z^2}\right]f(z) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where we have used the following parametrization

$$\begin{cases} z = 2a\sqrt{m_1^2 - V_0^2}y = \beta_1 y, \\ \mu_{1L} = \frac{(i-2aE)V_0 - 2am_0m_1}{2\sqrt{m_1^2 - V_0^2}}, \\ K_{1L} = a\sqrt{m_0^2 - E^2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore the equation (3.1) has a general solution :

$$\phi_L(y) = A_1 y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{\mu_{1L},K_{1L}}\left(\frac{y}{\beta_1}\right) + A_2 y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1L},K_{1L}}\left(\frac{y}{\beta_1}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,k}(z)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mu,k}(z)$ are Whittaker functions defined in terms of Kummer's confluent hypergeometric functions M and U by [34] :

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,k}(z) = z^{k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{z}{2}} M\left(k - \mu + \frac{1}{2}, 1 + 2k; z\right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu,k}(z) = z^{k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{z}{2}} U\left(k - \mu + \frac{1}{2}, 1 + 2k; z\right). \tag{3.6}$$

B. Solutions in the positive region: $x > 0$

In order to find the scattering solutions for the other extreme $x > 0$, we chose a new variable $y = e^{-\frac{x}{a}}$. So, by using, like Eq. (3.1), the transformation $\phi(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} g(y)$ we also obtain the Whittaker differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 g(t)}{dt^2} + \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_{1R}}{t} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} - K_{1R}^2\right)}{t^2}\right] g(t) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where the result for the parameters t, μ_{1R} and K_{1R} is found to be

$$\begin{cases} t = 2a\sqrt{m_1^2 - V_0^2}y = \beta_2 y, \\ \mu_{1R} = -\frac{(i+2aE)V_0 + 2am_0m_1}{2\sqrt{m_1^2 - V_0^2}}, \\ K_{1R} = a\sqrt{m_0^2 - E^2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, the equation (3.7), in this instance, has a general solution:

$$\phi_R(y) = A_3 y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{\mu_{1R},K_{1R}}\left(\frac{y}{\beta_2}\right) + A_4 y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1R},K_{1R}}\left(\frac{y}{\beta_2}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

So far we have derived the analytical expressions for the wave function of the scattering problem in the negative region $x < 0$ and in the positive region $x > 0$ which depend on four unknown constants (A_1, A_2) and (A_3, A_4) respectively .

C. Reflection and transmission coefficients

In order to investigate the transmission and reflection coefficients, we should first check asymptotic behaviors of the results as $\pm\infty$. So, for $x < 0$ the asymptotic behavior of the wave function $\phi_L(x)$ when $x \to +\infty$ is

$$\phi_L(x \to -\infty) = A_1 e^{iK_{1L}x} + A_2 e^{-iK_{1L}x}. \tag{3.10}$$

For the other extreme $x > 0$, we have

$$\phi_R(x \to +\infty) = A_3 e^{iK_{1R}x}. \tag{3.11}$$

It should be noticed, here, that $A_2 = 0$ because we have assumed the plane wave coming from the left to the right. Going further and in order to get the electrical current density for the one-dimensional Dirac equation defined by

$$j = \frac{1}{2}\big[\,|\phi(x)|^2 - |\chi(x)|^2\,\big], \tag{3.12}$$

we need to insert Eqs. (3.10) and (3.11) into Eq. (2.7) which gives $\chi_L(x)$ and $\chi_R(x)$, respectively,

$$\chi_L(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{m(x)}\left[(E - K_{1L})A_1 e^{iK_{1L}x} + (E + K_{1L})A_2 e^{-iK_{1L}x}\right], \tag{3.13}$$

$$\chi_R(x) \;=\; \left(\frac{E - K_{1R}}{m(x)}\right) A_4 e^{iK_{1R}x}. \tag{3.14}$$

The current in Eq. (3.12) can be written as $j_L = j_{inc} + j_{refl}$ in the limit $x \to -\infty$ where j_{inc} is the incident and j_{refl} is the reflected current. Similarly as $x \to \infty$ the current is $j_R = j_{trans}$ where j_{trans} is the transmitted current. Inserting Eqs. (3.10), (3.11), (3.13) and (3.14) into Eq. (3.12), we find the reflection and transmission coefficients, respectively, as

$$R = \tfrac{j_{refl}}{j_{inc}} = \;\frac{(E + K_{1L})}{(E - K_{1L})}\frac{|A_2|^2}{|A_1|^2}\,, \tag{3.15}$$

$$T = \tfrac{j_{trans}}{j_{inc}} = \;\frac{|A_4|^2}{|A_1|^2}\,. \tag{3.16}$$

Let's use the following continuity conditions on the wave functions and their first derivatives at $x = 0$ to obtain explicit expressions for R and T :

$$\begin{cases} \phi_L(x=0) = \phi_R(x=0), \\ \frac{d\phi_L(x)}{dx}|_{x=0} = \frac{d\phi_R(x)}{dx}|_{x=0}. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Using these equation with Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16), one can obtain

$$A_1F_1 + A_2F_2 = A_4F_4, \tag{3.18}$$

$$A_1H_1 + A_2H_2 = A_4H_4, \tag{3.19}$$

where the parameters F_1, F_2, F_4 and H_1, H_2, H_4 are expressed as follows :

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = \mathcal{M}_{\mu_{1L},K_{1L}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right), \\ F_2 = \mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1L},K_{1L}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right), \\ F_4 = \mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1R},K_{1R}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_2}\right), \\ H_1 = \frac{(1-(1+2\mu_{1L})\beta_1)\mathcal{M}_{\mu_{1L},K_{1L}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right)+(1+2(\mu_{1L}+K_{1L}))\beta_1\mathcal{M}_{\mu_{1L}+1,K_{1L}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right)}{2a\beta_1}, \\ H_2 = \frac{(1-(1+2\mu_{1L})\beta_1)\mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1L},K_{1L}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right)-2\beta_1\mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1L}+1,K_{1L}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right)}{2a\beta_1}, \\ H_4 = \frac{2\beta_2\mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1R}+1,K_{1R}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_2}\right)+((2\mu_{1R}+1)\beta_2-1)\mathcal{W}_{\mu_{1R},K_{1R}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_2}\right)}{2a\beta_2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore, transmission and reflection coefficients are, respectively, obtained as follows :

$$R = \frac{(E+K_{1L})}{(E-K_{1L})}\frac{|F_4H_1 - F_1H_4|^2}{|F_2H_4 - F_4H_2|^2}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$T = \frac{|F_2H_1 - F_1H_2|^2}{|F_2H_4 - F_4H_2|^2}. \tag{3.22}$$

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the main results of our theoretical study in which the effect of various parameters on the reflection and transmission coefficients of the cusp potential barrier are appropriately discussed. So, in Fig. (2), the transmission and reflection coefficients are displayed as function of the energy E for different values of the mass parameter m_1. From this Figure, one can see that the transmission and reflection coefficients oscillate between the values zero and one and satisfy the condition $R + T = 1$. Also, from figures (2) and (3), one can see that for a lower value of the mass parameter m_1, namely: $m_1 = 0.01fm^{-1}$, there is only one resonance peak at the energy $E = m_0 = 0.01fm^{-1}$. Such a peak corresponding to transmission threshold energy appears also in spectra for relatively higher values of m_1. But, as m_1 increases, more resonance peaks start to appear at higher energies. The most pronounced one of them occurs at the energy $E = 2.45fm^{-1}$ for $m_1 = 0.05fm^{-1}$. The occurrence of several resonance peaks at slightly higher values of the parameter m_1 shows that the potential barrier becomes more transparent with the increase of m_1. However, we have to notice that the mass parameter m_1 cannot increase so much

otherwise the reflection and transmission coefficients will diverge due to the limit condition $m_1 \to 0$ being set above for solution of the present scattering problem. Such a fact is well illustrated in Fig. (4) for transmission coefficient . From this figure, one can observe that the behavior of transmission coefficient T depends also on the potential barrier height. Besides, the length parameter a has a significant effect only on the amplitude of the reflection and transmission coefficients as can be seen from figures (2) and (3). While resonance peak position is slightly affected. The increase of such a parameter leads to an overlap of both reflection and transmission peaks at resonances.



FIG. 2: Transmission and reflection coefficients as a function of the energy E for $m_1 = 0.01fm^{-1}$(left), $m_1 = 0.04fm^{-1}$ (middle) and $m_1 = 0.05fm^{-1}$ (right). The others parameters are taking as $m_0 = 0.1fm^{-1}$, $V_0 = 0.4fm^{-1}$ and $a = 2.5fm$.



FIG. 3: The same as in Fig. 2, but for $a = 3fm$.



FIG. 4: Coefficient of transmission versus the mass parameter m_1 with several values of V_0. Left plots are for $(E = 0.2fm^{-1}, a = 3fm, m_0 = 0.1fm^{-1})$, middle plots for $(E = 0.3fm^{-1}, a = 3fm, m_0 = 0.1fm^{-1})$ and right plots for $(E = 0.4fm^{-1}, a = 3fm, m_0 = 0.1fm^{-1})$.

V. CONCLUSION

We studied the scattering states of Dirac equation in the presence of the cusp potential, considering position-dependency for mass, in one dimension in an analytical manner. We first obtained complicated coupled differential equation governing on the wave function components. Introducing a two auxiliary components caused to have a simpler differential equations for the components whose solutions were written in terms of Kummers confluent hypergeometric functions. Since the cusp potential has a delta-like essence, we had to derive reflection and transmission coefficients. After deriving the reflection and transmission coefficients, we plotted the results in terms of energy and the real mass parameter. It was shown that the increase of this latter leads to the appearance of several resonance peaks beyond the typical one corresponding to the transmission threshold energy $E = m_0$. So, the potential barrier becomes more transparent. Also, it was shown that the length parameter a has an important impact on the amplitude of both reflection and transmission coefficients leading to an overlap of their corresponding peaks at resonances conserving the condition $(T + R = 1)$. The effect of the potential barrier heigth on the behavior of the transmission coefficient was also illustrated.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and recommendations which helped to improve the paper.

---

[1] R. Newton, Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).

[2] D. Bohm, Quantum Mechanics (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1951).

[3] N. Dombey, P. Kennedy, and A. Calogeracos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1787 (2000).

[4] P. Kennedy, J. Phys. A 35, 689 (2002).

[5] J. Y. Guo, X. Z. Fang, F. X. Xu, Phys. Rev. A 66, 062105 (2002).

[6] A.D. Alhaidari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 210405 (2001).

[7] A.D. Alhaidari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 189901(E) (2002).

[8] C. Rojas, V. M. Villalba, Phys. Rev. A 71, 052101 (2005).

[9] V.M. Villalba, W. Greiner, Phys.Rev. A 67, 052707(2003).

[10] G. R. Satchler, Phys. Rep. 199, 147–190 (1991).

[11] O.V. Roos, Phys. Rev B 27, 7547 (1983).

[12] S. Flügge, Practical Quantum Mechanics, Springer-Verlage, Berlin Heidelberg (1999).

[13] G. Bastard, Wave Mechanics Applied to Semiconductor Heterostructure (Les Editions de Physique, 1998).

[14] L. Serra and E. Lipparini, Europhys. Lett. 40, 667 (1997).

[15] F. A. de Saavedra, J. Boronat, A. Polls, and A. Fabrocini, Phys. Rev. B 50, 4248 (1994).

[16] A. R. Plastino, M. Casas, and A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A 281, 297 (2001).

[17] A. D. Alhaidari, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 42, 2999 (2003).

[18] A. D. Alhaidari, Phys. Lett. A 322, 72 (2004).

[19] C. Quesne and V. M. Tkachuk, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37, 4267 (2004).

[20] D. Bonatsos, P. E. Georgoudis, D. Lenis, N. Minkov, and C. Quesne, Phys. Lett. B 683, 264 (2010).

[21] M. Chabab, A. El Batoul, and M. Oulne, J. Math. Phys. 56, 062111 (2015).

[22] L. Dekar, L. Chetouani, and T. F. Hammann, J. Math. Phys. 39, 2551 (1998).

[23] A. D. Alhaidari, H. Bahlouli, A. Al-Hasan, and M. S. Abdelmonem, Phys. Rev. A 75, 062711 (2007).

[24] O.Panella, S.Biondini and A Arda, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 325302 (2010).

[25] O.Aydodu, A. Arda and R. Sever, J. Math. Phys. 53, 042106 (2012).

[26] Y. Jiang, S.H. Dong, A. Antillon, and M. Lozada-Cassou, Eur. Phys. J. C 45, 525 (2006).

[27] V.M. Villalba and L.A. Gonzalez-Diaz, Phys. Scr. 75, 645 (2007).

[28] V.M. Villalba and C. Rojas, Phys. Lett. A 362, 21 (2007).

[29] V.M. Villalba and C. Rojas, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 21, 313 (2006).

[30] P. Kennedy, J. Phys. A 35, 689 (2002).

[31] P. Kennedy and N. Dombey, J. Phys. A 35, 6645 (2002).

[32] S. Flugge, Practical Quantum Mechanics (Springer-Verlag, 1974).

[33] X. L. Peng, J. Y. Liu, and C. S. Jia, Phys. Lett. A 352, 478 (2006).

[34] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010).


V_0=−2fm^{-1}
a=1
a=2
a=3
a=4
x(fm)